A R C H I T E C T U R E C I V I L E N G I N E E R I N G

The Silesian University of Technology



d o i : 10.21307/ACEE-2018-055

FNVIRONMENT

STRUCTURAL HEALTH MONITORING SYSTEM OF A CONCRETE CABLE-STAYED BRIDGE

Jan BILISZCZUK a, Paweł HAWRYSZKÓW b, Marco TEICHGRAEBER c*

^a Prof.; Wrocław University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Wybrzeże Stanisława Wyspiańskiego 27, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland

^b PhD; Wrocław University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Wybrzeże Stanisława Wyspiańskiego 27, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland

^cMSc; Wrocław University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Wybrzeże Stanisława Wyspiańskiego 27, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland

*E-mail address: marco.teichgraeber@pwr.edu.pl

Received: 25.06.2017; Revised: 10.08.2017; Accepted: 4.10.2018

Abstract

In this paper a system of the Rędziński bridge will be described. The Rędziński bridge is the biggest object along the A8 motorway around the city of Wrocław and the biggest concrete cable-stayed bridge in Poland. For the purposes of the bridge monitoring a system of 222 sensors was installed. Results from the first 5 years of work of the SHM will be presented below.

Keywords: Bridges; Structural health monitoring; Durability assessment; Maintenance.

1. STRUCTURAL HEALTH MONITORING SYSTEMS IN POLAND

For over the last decade an intensive development of SHM systems has appeared in Poland and some of them are installed in the following bridges:

- the Solidarity Bridge over the Vistula River in Plock (2007), which is the biggest cable-stayed bridge in Poland, made of steel;
- the John Paul II Bridge over the Vistula River in Puławy (2008) – one of the largest arch bridges in Poland, made of steel;
- the Rędziński Bridge (Fig. 1) over the Odra River in Worcław (2011), which is the biggest concrete cablestayed bridge in Poland, constructed along the motorway A8.

Furthermore SHM systems are not only installed on bridges. Under a constant observation is also the roof structure of the National Football Stadium in Warsaw or the road surface on motorway A4 which is built in the region of underground mine damages.

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE RĘDZIŃSKI BRIDGE AND ITS SHM SYSTEM

The Rędziński Bridge [2] was open to traffic on 31^{st} August 2011 and is the biggest bridge along the motorway ring-road of Wrocław. It is a four-span cablestayed bridge situated over the Odra River. The spans are 50 m + 2 x 256 m + 50 m long (Fig. 2) The two separated concrete decks are connected to a single 122 m high concrete pylon located on the Rędziński Island. The stay cable system consists of 160 stays. The decks were built with the longitudinal launching method [3].

For the purposes of the bridge monitoring a system of 222 sensors was installed (Fig. 4). The system is saving data concerning stresses in the concrete elements like



View of the Rędziński Bridge [www.golowersilesia.pl]





General view of the Rędziński Bridge



Figure 3.

View of the Rędziński Bridge model in the SHM application. It shows a virtual location of each sensor [1]

the pylon and the decks, it is measuring the forces and accelerations in 80 cable-stays, furthermore it is collecting data about the temperature in the bridge elements with comparison of the current weather



conditions. All parameters are measured at the same time and saved in 6 local servers. The dynamic values are registered with the frequency of 100 Hz. The database is available via internet through a professional application. The application (Fig. 5) is equipped with an alert module that informs the user about some dangerous or strange behaviours of the bridge elements. Moreover, it is equipped with a 3Dmodel of the bridge, where the user can check the precise location of each sensor.

3. MEASUREMENT ANALYSIS

In 2016 a first overview of the registered data from August 2011 till December 2015 was made [5]. The analysed sensors were divided into 3 groups:

- for the cable stayed system: forces, temperatures and acceleration sensors,
- for the deck: stresses, temperatures and acceleration sensors,
- for the pylon: stresses, temperatures, acceleration and displacements sensors.

Some of the results are presented below in detail.

ENGINEERIN

CIVIL

Table 1.Comparison of average monthly forces

Sensor	Average force, August 2011	Average force, December 2015	Difference	Percent change	Sensor	Average force, August 2011	Average force, December 2015	Difference	Percent change
	[kN]	[kN]	[kN]			[kN]	[kN]	[kN]	
W1-LZ/F	1784	1658	-126	-7.0%	W1-PZ/F	-	1524	-	-
W1-LW/F	1433	1237	-196	-13.7%	W1-PW/F	-	1304	-	-
W4-LZ/F	3121	3007	-114	-3.6%	W4-PZ/F	3051.3	3001	-50.1	-1.6%
W4-LW/F	3001	2921	-80	-2.7%	W4-PW/F	3069.3	2993	-76.5	-2.5%
W6-LZ/F	3290	2756	-533	-16.2%	W6-PZ/F	3591.8	3625	33.7	0.9%
W6-LW/F	3229	3254	24	0.8%	W6-PW/F	3253.1	3116	-136.7	-4.2%
W8-LZ/F	3669	3590	-79	-2.2%	W8-PZ/F	3596.8	3643	46.4	1.3%
W8-LW/F	3771	3758	-13	-0.4%	W8-PW/F	3550.4	3595	44.8	1.3%
W10-LZ/F	4572	4675	103	2.3%	W10-PZ/F	4794.7	4823	27.8	0.6%
W10-LW/F	4482	4419	-62	-1.4%	W10-PW/F	4448.6	4368	-81.1	-1.8%
W12-LZ/F	4898	4907	9	0.2%	W12-PZ/F	4869.1	4764	-104.6	-2.1%
W12-LW/F	4441	4384	-57	-1.3%	W12-PW/F	4722.2	3696	-1026.7	-21.7%
W14-LZ/F	5781	5580	-200	-3.5%	W14-PZ/F	5579.0	5599	20.2	0.4%
W14-LW/F	5493	5362	-131	-2.4%	W14-PW/F	5598.2	5455	-143.5	-2.6%
W16-LZ/F	5262	4972	-290	-5.5%	W16-PZ/F	5183.5	4987	-196.8	-3.8%
W16-LW/F	5428	5220	-208	-3.8%	W16-PW/F	5374.6	5241	-133.9	-2.5%
W18-LZ/F	5253	4932	-322	-6.1%	W18-PZ/F	5285.3	5022	-263.5	-5.0%
W18-LW/F	5018	4681	-337	-6.7%	W18-PW/F	5323.7	5072	-251.5	-4.7%
W20-LZ/F	3135	2934	-202	-6.4%	W20-PZ/F	3199.6	2959	-240.4	-7.5%
W20-LW/F	2966	2716	-250	-8.4%	W20-PW/F	2952.4	2701	-251.6	-8.5%
Sensor	Average force, August 2011	Average force, December 2015	Difference	Percent change	Sensor	Average force, August 2011	Average force, December 2015	Difference	Percent change
Sensor	Average force, August 2011 [kN]	Average force, December 2015 [kN]	Difference [kN]	Percent change	Sensor	Average force, August 2011 [kN]	Average force, December 2015 [kN]	Difference [kN]	Percent change
Sensor W21-LZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1740	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1528	Difference [kN] -212	Percent change -12.2%	Sensor W21-PZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1279	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1064	Difference [kN] -215	Percent change -16.8%
Sensor W21-LZ/F W21-LW/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1740 1380	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1528 1185	Difference [kN] -212 -196	Percent change -12.2% -14.2%	Sensor W21-PZ/F W21-PW/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1279 1455	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1064 1266	Difference [kN] -215 -189	Percent change -16.8% -13.0%
Sensor W21-LZ/F W21-LW/F W24-LZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1740 1380 3111	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1528 1185 3033	Difference [kN] -212 -196 -78	Percent change -12.2% -14.2% -2.5%	Sensor W21-PZ/F W21-PW/F W24-PZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1279 1455 3088	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1064 1266 603	Difference [kN] -215 -189 -2485	Percent change -16.8% -13.0% -80.5%
Sensor W21-LZ/F W21-LW/F W24-LZ/F W24-LW/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1740 1380 3111 3025	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1528 1185 3033 2930	Difference [kN] -212 -196 -78 -95	Percent change -12.2% -14.2% -2.5% -3.1%	Sensor W21-PZ/F W21-PW/F W24-PZ/F W24-PW/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1279 1455 3088 3028	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1064 1266 603 2954	Difference [kN] -215 -189 -2485 -74	Percent change -16.8% -13.0% -80.5% -2.4%
Sensor W21-LZ/F W21-LW/F W24-LZ/F W24-LW/F W26-LZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1740 1380 3111 3025 3305	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1528 1185 3033 2930 3206	Difference [kN] -212 -196 -78 -95 -99	Percent change -12.2% -14.2% -2.5% -3.1% -3.0%	Sensor W21-PZ/F W21-PW/F W24-PZ/F W24-PW/F W26-PZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1279 1455 3088 3028 3458	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1064 1266 603 2954 3316	Difference [kN] -215 -189 -2485 -74 -141	Percent change -16.8% -13.0% -80.5% -2.4% -4.1%
Sensor W21-LZ/F W21-LW/F W24-LZ/F W24-LW/F W26-LZ/F W26-LZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1740 1380 3111 3025 3305 3229	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1528 1185 3033 2930 3206 3006	Difference [kN] -212 -196 -78 -95 -99 -223	Percent change -12.2% -14.2% -2.5% -3.1% -3.0% -6.9%	Sensor W21-PZ/F W21-PW/F W24-PZ/F W24-PW/F W26-PZ/F W26-PW/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1279 1455 3088 3028 3458 3426	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1064 1266 603 2954 3316 3443	Difference [kN] -215 -189 -2485 -74 -141 17	Percent change -16.8% -13.0% -80.5% -2.4% -4.1% 0.5%
Sensor W21-LZ/F W21-LW/F W24-LZ/F W24-LZ/F W26-LZ/F W26-LW/F W28-LZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1740 1380 3111 3025 3305 3229 3714	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1528 1185 3033 2930 3206 3006 3572	Difference [kN] -212 -196 -78 -95 -99 -223 -142	Percent change -12.2% -14.2% -2.5% -3.1% -3.0% -6.9% -3.8%	Sensor W21-PZ/F W21-PW/F W24-PZ/F W24-PW/F W26-PZ/F W26-PW/F W28-PZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1279 1455 3088 3028 3458 3426 3610	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1064 1266 603 2954 3316 3443 3619	Difference [kN] -215 -189 -2485 -74 -141 17 9	Percent change -16.8% -13.0% -80.5% -2.4% -4.1% 0.5% 0.2%
Sensor W21-LZ/F W21-LW/F W24-LZ/F W24-LW/F W26-LZ/F W26-LW/F W28-LZ/F W28-LZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1740 1380 3111 3025 3305 3229 3714 3670	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1528 1185 3033 2930 3206 3006 3572 3614	Difference [kN] -212 -196 -78 -95 -99 -223 -142 -56	Percent change -12.2% -14.2% -2.5% -3.1% -3.0% -6.9% -3.8% -1.5%	Sensor W21-PZ/F W21-PW/F W24-PZ/F W24-PZ/F W26-PZ/F W26-PW/F W28-PZ/F W28-PZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1279 1455 3088 3028 3458 3426 3610 3614	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1064 1266 603 2954 3316 3443 3619 3679	Difference [kN] -215 -189 -2485 -74 -141 17 9 65	Percent change -16.8% -13.0% -80.5% -2.4% -4.1% 0.5% 0.2% 1.8%
Sensor W21-LZ/F W21-LW/F W24-LZ/F W24-LW/F W26-LZ/F W26-LW/F W28-LZ/F W28-LZ/F W30-LZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1740 1380 3111 3025 3305 3229 3714 3670 4744	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1528 1185 3033 2930 3206 3006 3572 3614 4658	Difference [kN] -212 -196 -78 -95 -99 -223 -142 -56 -86	Percent change -12.2% -14.2% -2.5% -3.1% -3.0% -6.9% -3.8% -1.5% -1.5%	Sensor W21-PZ/F W21-PW/F W24-PZ/F W24-PW/F W26-PZ/F W26-PW/F W28-PZ/F W28-PZ/F W28-PW/F W30-PZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1279 1455 3088 3028 3458 3426 3610 3614 4532	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1064 1266 603 2954 3316 3443 3619 3679 4641	Difference [kN] -215 -189 -2485 -74 -141 17 9 65 108	Percent change -16.8% -13.0% -80.5% -2.4% -4.1% 0.5% 0.2% 1.8% 2.4%
Sensor W21-LZ/F W21-LW/F W24-LZ/F W24-LZ/F W26-LZ/F W26-LZ/F W28-LZ/F W28-LZ/F W30-LZ/F W30-LZ/F W30-LW/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1740 1380 3111 3025 3305 3229 3714 3670 4744 4570	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1528 1185 3033 2930 3206 3006 3572 3614 4658 4438	Difference [kN] -212 -196 -78 -95 -99 -223 -142 -56 -86 -132	Percent change -12.2% -14.2% -2.5% -3.1% -3.0% -6.9% -3.8% -1.5% -1.8% -2.9%	Sensor W21-PZ/F W21-PW/F W24-PZ/F W24-PW/F W26-PZ/F W26-PW/F W28-PZ/F W28-PZ/F W30-PZ/F W30-PZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1279 1455 3088 3028 3458 3426 3610 3614 4532 4745	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1064 1266 603 2954 3316 3443 3619 3679 4641 4650	Difference [kN] -215 -189 -2485 -74 -141 17 9 65 108 -96	Percent change -16.8% -13.0% -80.5% -2.4% -4.1% 0.5% 0.2% 1.8% 2.4% -2.0%
Sensor W21-LZ/F W21-LW/F W24-LZ/F W24-LZ/F W26-LZ/F W26-LW/F W28-LZ/F W28-LZ/F W30-LZ/F W30-LZ/F W32-LZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1740 1380 3111 3025 3305 3229 3714 3670 4744 4570 4918	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1528 1185 3033 2930 3206 3006 3572 3614 4658 4438 4697	Difference [kN] -212 -196 -78 -95 -99 -223 -142 -56 -86 -132 -221	Percent change -12.2% -14.2% -2.5% -3.1% -3.0% -6.9% -3.8% -1.5% -1.5% -1.8% -2.9% -4.5%	Sensor W21-PZ/F W21-PW/F W24-PZ/F W24-PZ/F W26-PZ/F W26-PZ/F W28-PZ/F W28-PZ/F W30-PZ/F W30-PZ/F W30-PZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1279 1455 3088 3028 3458 3426 3610 3614 4532 4745 904	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1064 1266 603 2954 3316 3443 3619 3679 4641 4650 4893	Difference [kN] -215 -189 -2485 -74 -141 17 9 65 108 -96 3988	Percent change -16.8% -13.0% -80.5% -2.4% -4.1% 0.5% 0.2% 1.8% 2.4% -2.0% 441.0%
Sensor W21-LZ/F W21-LW/F W24-LZ/F W24-LW/F W26-LZ/F W26-LZ/F W28-LZ/F W28-LZ/F W30-LZ/F W30-LZ/F W32-LZ/F W32-LZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1740 1380 3111 3025 3305 3229 3714 3670 4744 4570 4918	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1528 1185 3033 2930 3206 3006 3572 3614 4658 4438 4697 -	Difference [kN] -212 -196 -78 -95 -99 -223 -142 -56 -86 -132 -221 -	Percent change -12.2% -14.2% -2.5% -3.1% -3.0% -6.9% -3.8% -1.5% -1.8% -2.9% -4.5%	Sensor W21-PZ/F W21-PW/F W24-PZ/F W24-PZ/F W26-PZ/F W26-PW/F W28-PZ/F W28-PZ/F W30-PZ/F W30-PZ/F W30-PW/F W32-PZ/F W32-PZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1279 1455 3088 3028 3458 3426 3610 3614 4532 4745 904 4942	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1064 1266 603 2954 3316 3443 3619 3679 4641 4650 4893 4849	Difference [kN] -215 -189 -2485 -74 -141 17 9 65 108 -96 3988 -93	Percent change -16.8% -13.0% -80.5% -2.4% -4.1% 0.5% 0.2% 1.8% 2.4% -2.0% 441.0% -1.9%
Sensor W21-LZ/F W21-LW/F W24-LZ/F W24-LW/F W26-LZ/F W26-LZ/F W28-LZ/F W30-LZ/F W30-LZ/F W30-LZ/F W32-LZ/F W32-LZ/F W34-LZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1740 1380 3111 3025 3305 3229 3714 3670 4744 4570 4918 -	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1528 1185 3033 2930 3206 3006 3572 3614 4658 4438 4697 -	Difference [kN] -212 -196 -78 -95 -99 -223 -142 -56 -86 -132 -221 - -	Percent change -12.2% -14.2% -2.5% -3.1% -3.0% -6.9% -3.8% -1.5% -1.8% -2.9% -4.5% -	Sensor W21-PZ/F W21-PW/F W24-PZ/F W24-PZ/F W26-PZ/F W26-PW/F W28-PZ/F W28-PZ/F W30-PZ/F W30-PZ/F W30-PZ/F W32-PZ/F W32-PZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1279 1455 3088 3028 3458 3426 3610 3614 4532 4745 904 4942 5664	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1064 1266 603 2954 3316 3443 3619 3679 4641 4650 4893 4849 5497	Difference [kN] -215 -189 -2485 -74 -141 17 9 65 108 -96 3988 -93 -167	Percent change -16.8% -13.0% -80.5% -2.4% -4.1% 0.5% 0.2% 1.8% 2.4% -2.0% 441.0% -1.9% -2.9%
Sensor W21-LZ/F W21-LW/F W24-LZ/F W24-LW/F W26-LZ/F W26-LZ/F W28-LZ/F W30-LZ/F W30-LZ/F W30-LZ/F W32-LZ/F W32-LZ/F W32-LZ/F W34-LZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1740 1380 3111 3025 3305 3229 3714 3670 4744 4570 4918 - - 5759	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1528 1185 3033 2930 3206 3006 3572 3614 4658 4438 4697 - - 5448	Difference [kN] -212 -196 -78 -95 -99 -223 -142 -56 -86 -132 -221 - - - - -310	Percent change -12.2% -14.2% -2.5% -3.1% -3.0% -6.9% -3.8% -1.5% -1.5% -1.5% -2.9% -4.5% - - - - - -5.4%	Sensor W21-PZ/F W21-PW/F W24-PZ/F W24-PW/F W26-PZ/F W26-PW/F W28-PZ/F W28-PZ/F W30-PZ/F W30-PZ/F W32-PZ/F W32-PZ/F W32-PZ/F W34-PZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1279 1455 3088 3028 3458 3426 3610 3614 4532 4745 904 4942 5664 5668	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1064 1266 603 2954 3316 3443 3619 3679 4641 4650 4893 4849 5497 5460	Difference [kN] -215 -189 -2485 -74 -141 17 9 65 108 -96 3988 -96 3988 -93 -167 -208	Percent change -16.8% -13.0% -80.5% -2.4% -4.1% 0.5% 0.2% 1.8% 2.4% -2.0% 441.0% -1.9% -2.9% -3.7%
Sensor W21-LZ/F W21-LW/F W24-LZ/F W24-LZ/F W26-LZ/F W26-LW/F W28-LZ/F W30-LZ/F W30-LZ/F W32-LZ/F W32-LZ/F W34-LZ/F W34-LZ/F W36-LZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1740 1380 3111 3025 3305 3229 3714 3670 4744 4570 4918 - - 5759 5263	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1528 1185 3033 2930 3206 3006 3572 3614 4658 4438 4697 - - 5448 5005	Difference [kN] -212 -196 -78 -95 -99 -223 -142 -56 -86 -132 -221 - 221 - - - - - 310 -258	Percent change -12.2% -14.2% -2.5% -3.1% -3.0% -6.9% -3.8% -1.5% -1.8% -1.5% -1.8% -2.9% -4.5% - - - - - -5.4% -4.9%	Sensor W21-PZ/F W21-PW/F W24-PZ/F W24-PZ/F W26-PZ/F W26-PZ/F W28-PZ/F W28-PZ/F W30-PZ/F W30-PZ/F W32-PZ/F W32-PZ/F W34-PZ/F W34-PZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1279 1455 3088 3028 3458 3426 3610 3614 4532 4745 904 4942 5664 5668 5437	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1064 1266 603 2954 3316 3443 3619 3679 4641 4650 4893 4849 5497 5460 5172	Difference [kN] -215 -189 -2485 -74 -141 17 9 65 108 -96 3988 -93 -167 -208 -265	Percent change -16.8% -13.0% -80.5% -2.4% -4.1% 0.5% 0.2% 1.8% 2.4% -2.0% 441.0% -1.9% -2.9% -3.7% -4.9%
Sensor W21-LZ/F W21-LW/F W24-LZ/F W24-LZ/F W26-LZ/F W26-LW/F W28-LZ/F W30-LZ/F W30-LZ/F W32-LZ/F W32-LW/F W34-LZ/F W34-LZ/F W36-LZ/F W36-LZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1740 1380 3111 3025 3305 3229 3714 3670 4744 4570 4918 - - 5759 5263 5614	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1528 1185 3033 2930 3206 3006 3572 3614 4658 4438 4697 - - 5448 5005 5233	Difference [kN] -212 -196 -78 -95 -99 -223 -142 -56 -86 -132 -221 - 221 - - - - 310 -258 -381	Percent change -12.2% -14.2% -2.5% -3.1% -3.0% -6.9% -3.8% -1.5% -1.5% -1.5% -1.8% -2.9% -4.5% - - - -5.4% -4.9% -6.8%	Sensor W21-PZ/F W21-PW/F W24-PZ/F W24-PZ/F W26-PZ/F W26-PZ/F W28-PZ/F W28-PW/F W30-PZ/F W30-PZ/F W32-PZ/F W32-PW/F W34-PZ/F W34-PZ/F W36-PZ/F W36-PZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1279 1455 3088 3028 3458 3426 3610 3614 4532 4745 904 4942 5664 5668 5437 5352	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1064 1266 603 2954 3316 3443 3619 3679 4641 4650 4893 4849 5497 5460 5172 4726	Difference [kN] -215 -189 -2485 -74 -141 17 9 65 108 -96 3988 -93 -167 -208 -265 -626	Percent change -16.8% -13.0% -80.5% -2.4% -4.1% 0.5% 0.2% 1.8% 2.4% -2.0% 441.0% -1.9% -2.9% -3.7% -4.9% -11.7%
Sensor W21-LZ/F W21-LW/F W24-LZ/F W24-LW/F W26-LZ/F W26-LZ/F W28-LZ/F W30-LZ/F W30-LZ/F W30-LZ/F W32-LZ/F W34-LZ/F W34-LZ/F W36-LZ/F W36-LZ/F W38-LZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1740 1380 3111 3025 3305 3229 3714 3670 4744 4570 4918 - - 5759 5263 5614 5254	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1528 1185 3033 2930 3206 3006 3572 3614 4658 4438 4697 - - 5448 5005 5233 4832	Difference [kN] -212 -196 -78 -95 -99 -223 -142 -56 -86 -132 -221 - - - -310 -258 -381 -421	Percent change -12.2% -14.2% -2.5% -3.1% -3.0% -6.9% -3.8% -1.5% -1.5% -1.5% -1.8% -2.9% -4.5% - - - - -5.4% -4.9% -6.8% -8.0%	Sensor W21-PZ/F W21-PW/F W24-PZ/F W24-PZ/F W26-PZ/F W26-PZ/F W28-PZ/F W28-PZ/F W30-PZ/F W30-PZ/F W32-PZ/F W32-PZ/F W34-PZ/F W34-PZ/F W36-PZ/F W36-PZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1279 1455 3088 3028 3458 3426 3610 3614 4532 4745 904 4942 5664 5668 5437 5352 5220	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1064 1266 603 2954 3316 3443 3619 3679 4641 4650 4893 4849 5497 5460 5172 4726 4981	Difference [kN] -215 -189 -2485 -74 -141 17 9 65 108 -96 3988 -93 -167 -208 -265 -626 -239	Percent change -16.8% -13.0% -80.5% -2.4% -4.1% 0.5% 0.2% 1.8% 2.4% -2.0% 441.0% -1.9% -2.9% -3.7% -4.9% -11.7% -4.6%
Sensor W21-LZ/F W21-LW/F W24-LZ/F W24-LW/F W26-LZ/F W26-LZ/F W28-LZ/F W30-LZ/F W30-LZ/F W30-LW/F W32-LZ/F W32-LZ/F W34-LZ/F W36-LZ/F W36-LZ/F W36-LZ/F W38-LZ/F W38-LZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1740 1380 3111 3025 3305 3229 3714 3670 4714 4570 4918 - 5759 5263 5614 5254 5281	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1528 1185 3033 2930 3206 3006 3572 3614 4658 4438 4697 - - 5448 5005 5233 4832 4928	Difference [kN] -212 -196 -78 -95 -99 -223 -142 -56 -86 -132 -221 - - - - -310 -258 -381 -421 -353	Percent change -12.2% -14.2% -2.5% -3.1% -3.0% -6.9% -3.8% -1.5% -1.5% -1.5% -1.5% -2.9% -4.5% - - - - - -5.4% -4.9% -6.8% -8.0% -6.7%	Sensor W21-PZ/F W21-PW/F W24-PZ/F W24-PV/F W26-PZ/F W26-PW/F W28-PZ/F W28-PV/F W30-PZ/F W30-PZ/F W30-PW/F W32-PZ/F W32-PZ/F W34-PZ/F W36-PZ/F W36-PZ/F W38-PZ/F W38-PZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1279 1455 3088 3028 3458 3426 3610 3614 4532 4745 904 4942 5664 5668 5437 5352 5220 5134	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1064 1266 603 2954 3316 3443 3619 3679 4641 4650 4893 4849 5497 5460 5172 4726 4981 4979	Difference [kN] -215 -189 -2485 -74 -141 17 9 65 108 -96 3988 -93 -167 -208 -265 -626 -239 -155	Percent change -16.8% -13.0% -80.5% -2.4% -4.1% 0.5% 0.2% 1.8% 2.4% -2.0% 441.0% -1.9% -2.9% -3.7% -4.9% -11.7% -4.6% -3.0%
Sensor W21-LZ/F W21-LW/F W24-LZ/F W24-LZ/F W26-LZ/F W26-LZ/F W28-LZ/F W30-LZ/F W30-LZ/F W32-LZ/F W32-LZ/F W32-LZ/F W34-LZ/F W36-LZ/F W38-LZ/F W38-LZ/F W38-LZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1740 1380 3111 3025 3305 3229 3714 3670 4744 4570 4918 - 5759 5263 5614 5254 5281 3202	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1528 1185 3033 2930 3206 3006 3572 3614 4658 4438 4697 - - 5448 5005 5233 4832 4928 2825	Difference [kN] -212 -196 -78 -95 -99 -223 -142 -56 -86 -132 -221 - - - -310 -258 -381 -421 -353 -376	Percent change -12.2% -14.2% -2.5% -3.1% -3.0% -6.9% -3.8% -1.5% -1.5% -1.5% -4.5% - - - - -5.4% -4.9% -6.8% -8.0% -6.7% -11.8%	Sensor W21-PZ/F W21-PW/F W24-PZ/F W24-PZ/F W26-PZ/F W26-PZ/F W28-PZ/F W28-PW/F W30-PZ/F W30-PZ/F W30-PZ/F W32-PW/F W32-PZ/F W34-PZ/F W36-PZ/F W38-PZ/F W38-PZ/F W38-PZ/F	Average force, August 2011 [kN] 1279 1455 3088 3028 3458 3426 3610 3614 4532 4745 904 4942 5664 5668 5437 5352 5220 5134 3029	Average force, December 2015 [kN] 1064 1266 603 2954 3316 3443 3619 3679 4641 4650 4893 4849 5497 5460 5172 4726 4981 4979 2718	Difference [kN] -215 -189 -2485 -74 -141 17 9 65 108 -96 3988 -93 -167 -208 -93 -167 -208 -265 -626 -239 -155 -312	Percent change -16.8% -13.0% -80.5% -2.4% -4.1% 0.5% 0.2% 1.8% 2.4% -2.0% 441.0% -1.9% -2.9% -3.7% -4.9% -11.7% -4.6% -3.0% -10.3%



Figure 6. The monthly average forces in case of the shortest stay-cables W1-LW/F and W1-LZ/F



Figure 7. Change of the monthly average force in cables, in each row

3.1. Cables – forces in strands

The cable stayed system is equipped in 80 force sensors [6]. Over each single deck 20 cables are under the SHM observation. In Table 1 a comparison between the beginning average and the last average force value is presented. Each sensor has its number and code which informs the SHM user about its location. Numbers of the sensors W1-W20 are for the cables from the southern side of the pylon (Prague direction) and numbers W21-W40 are for the northern cables (Warsaw direction; see Fig. 2). The letter L in the sensor code means the left deck, and the P letter means the right deck. Then the letter W means that the sensor is located on the internal cable row of the deck, the letter Z refers to the external cables. The sensor is installed on the reference strand in each cable. The cables were installed using the Isotension method, which guarantees the same force in each strand. If the number of strands in cable is known - a simple calculation allows to define the force in a whole cable.

During the analysis for each measured cable the maximum, minimum and average monthly force value was saved. It was the basis for creating a global overview how the forces in 80 cables have been changing for the first 5 years. Generally a decrease of the force has place. Moreover, during summer the force is increasing, and in winter it is lower again. Figure 6 shows an example how the force in the longest stay-cable is changing. Figure 7 shows the same for the shortest cables.

According to the Figures 5 and 6 and Table 1 it is visible, that the decrease of the force in the longest cables is between 6.4% and 11.8%, whereas in the shortest the differences are between 7.0% and 16.8%. The biggest change had place in the middle cable W12-PW and was about 21.7%. In cables W12-LZ and W28-PZ the change was about 0.2%. Some sensors are not working properly, like W24-PZ/F and W32-PZ/F. The decrease of forces in cables is a natural process caused by shrinking and creeping of the concrete elements of the bridge. ENGINEERIN

CIVIL



Figure 8.

Forces change between 3/04/2016 and 16/4/2016 in four random cable-stays - a diagram generated using the SHM application

Table 2.Measured and allowed angular displacements





Sensors in the pylon's cross-beam



Figure 10.

Monthly average values of stress in concrete for the northern outside cross-beam surface



Figure 11.

Monthly average values of stress in steel for the northern outside cross-beam surface



The monthly average temperature in cables, deck and pylon

Furthermore, the temperature changes of the whole construction in summer and winter are seen as the local extreme values on the diagrams. A similar change is visible in a day/night cycle, which is shown in Figure 8. The change of forces for each cable row is shown in Figure 7.

The measurements from the first 5 years are a basis for an advanced durability assessment of the cable stays in bridges under live loads.

3.2. Pylon – angular displacements

Between August 2011 and December 2015 the extreme monthly values of the angular displacements were measured. In the orthogonal direction (Y in the sensor code) to the pylon surfaces the displacement were measured on 3 levels (Fig. 10):

- on the bottom of the pylon, sensors: P0-L/Tt/Y, P0-P/Tt/Y,
- on the pylon's cross-beam, sensors P17-L/Tt/Y, P17-P/Tt/Y,
- on the top of the pylon, sensors: P30-L/Tt/Y, P30-P/Tt/Y.

In the pylon surface (X in the sensor code) only rotation on the top were measured: - sensors: P30-L/Tt/X, P30-P/Tt/X.

Table 2 shows the comparison with the allowed values. Measured angular displacements are below maximum designed values.

3.3. Pylon – stresses in the cross-beam

The upper cross-beam of the H-pylon of the Rędziński Bridge is exposed to a big torsion moment. The designer of the bridge decided to construct a steel box inside [2]. Moreover, the cross-beam was pre-stressed with 18 cables. To have a constant overview of the stresses in the structure, sensors were installed inside and outside the box, at the steel and concrete surface. Figure 9 shows the localization of each sensor set. The diagrams in Figure 10 and 11 show, that stresses are slowly increasing in the structure – minus means compressing. The yellow and blue lines describe the values of sensors installed under 60 degrees to the bolt axis. The green line is for the sensor installed in the direction of the cross-beam axis.

ENGINEERIN

CIVIL



Two weeks temperature changes in the concrete deck sensors



Two weeks temperature changes in the pylon's cross-beam (in concrete and in steel elements)

Extreme temperatures in each element						
Element	Minimum temperature [°C] (February 2015)	Maximum temperature [°C] (August 2015)				
Cables	-20.89	44.37				
Pylon	-9.05	36.03				
Deck	-12.72	33.97				

3.4. Temperature comparison between pylon, deck and cables

The 5 years analysis enables a comparison between temperatures in the main structural elements of the bridge. It is an important issue to the Polish Standards, because there is no information about temperature distribution for cable-stayed bridges. Information from the SHM system can be in this case a basis for creating the national attachments for the upcoming Eurocode edition.

A diagram in Fig. 12 shows how the average temperature changed in the cables, deck and pylon. A period of improper work of sensor is visible – the orange line. In the Polish Standard PN-85/S-10030 for Bridges – the temperature changes for steel elements are from -25° C till 55°C and for the concrete elements from – 15°C till 30°C. The Table 3 shows that the temperatures in deck and pylon were higher than allowed. Furthermore short-term temperature changes are also well visible. The diagrams below show how the temperature is changing in the deck structure and pylon between 3rd of April 2016 and 16th of April 2016.

4. CONCLUSION

All measurements were taken in real weather conditions and under real loads by the SHM system. Such an overview gives the opportunity to compare the measured values (stresses in concrete and steel elements, the displacements of the pylon and the deck, the change of forces in cable stays) with each other. A long term observation of the force in cable stays with an additional dynamic analysis made with an FEM-model can be a first assessment of the fatigue durability of steel in these structural elements. SHM

Table 3

systems are an innovative research method, because they not only give the opportunity to a constant supervision of the bridges, but also enable the engineers and researches to work with reliable measured data. Such investigations are a valuable contribution to modern civil engineering.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The paper was presented at the 12th Central European Congress on Concrete Engineering, CCC 2017, Tokaj, Hungary, 31st August – 1st September 2017.

REFERENCES

- [1] Neostrain, SHM Application "Inspector".
- [2] Biliszczuk, J., Onysyk, J., Barcik, W., Prabucki, P., Sułkowski, M., szczepański, J., Toczkiewicz, R., Tomiczek, M., Tukendorf, A., Tukendorf, K., AST A. (2011). Rędziński Bridge along the Wrocław Ringroad. Wrocław Bridge Days, Wrocław 24th–25th November 2011, Wrocław: DWE, 203–222.
- [3] Hawryszków, P., Hildebrand, M. (2012). Installation of the largest stay cable system in Poland – the Rędziński bridge in Wrocław. Proceeding of the 18th IABSE Congress "Innovative Infrastructures – Toward Human Urbanism". Seoul, Korea.
- [4] Barcik, W., Sieńko, R., Biliszczuk, J. (2011). SHM System of the Rędziński Bridge in Wrocław, Wrocław Bridge Days, Wrocław 24th–25th November 2011, Wrocław: DWE.
- [5] Biliszczuk, J., Onysyk J., Teichgraeber, M. (2016). Data analysis of the SHM System of the Rędziński Bridge. 1st Rapport from the period August 2011 – January 2016, Wrocław.
- [6] Neostrain, Instruction of the SHM Application for the bridge along the Wrocław Ringroad.